



## Core Curriculum Outcomes Assessment Summary Form

This form is to be completed by a representative from the Core Curriculum Assessment Sub-Committee. The information provided in this form will be used by University of Detroit Mercy to inform stakeholder groups about Detroit Mercy's commitment to the intellectual, spiritual, moral and social development of all undergraduate students as they navigate through the Core Curriculum. A PDF version of this completed form will be posted to the Academic Affairs Assessment website.

### 1. CORE OUTCOMES INFORMATION

Core Curriculum Area \*

Knowledge Area

Integrating Theme

### 2. Enter the Knowledge Area or Integrating Theme of the Outcomes Assessed:

For example, KA-A1. Oral Communication or Integrating Theme.1.-. Reading, Writing, &

Research, Writing, Thinking, Learning, etc.

### 3. Form Completion Date: \*

### 4. Assessment Overview

What course(s) were assessed? What outcomes were collected, who performed the assessment, and what assessment tool was used. \*

ation Studies – CST 1010 Fundamentals of Speech course builds students' confidence in speaking with a live, immediate audience by emphasizing speech delivery skills, audience and adaptation, organization, use of supporting material, and building of credibility. The CST 1010 course lays a solid foundation for students to effectively speak and further develop their communication skills.

The Communicating message organ foundation for

5. Results, Planned Actions, and/or Actions Taken

Faculty discourse captured during the norming session; the rubric score and scale; interpretation of the score; and plans to enhance student learning. \*

Results, Planned Actions, and/or Actions Taken  
Results showed that the overall mean rubric score was 3.2. All outcomes on the rubric scored above the 3.0 milestone, with the exception of outcome A1.5. Below is a table that includes coverage of 3.9. Our research highlights that additional analysis was conducted after discussion of the rubric area from the AY14 assessment. It is likely areas

areas of strength, including use of supporting materials (outcome A1.6) and ethical purpose noted that audience analysis and adaptation (A1.3) was a strong point.

Faculty Observed several (outcome A1.2). It was also